



TITLE:

BERNSTEIN-TYPE APPROXIMATION PROCESSES FOR VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS (Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis)

AUTHOR(S):

Nishishiraho, Toshihiko

CITATION:

Nishishiraho, Toshihiko. BERNSTEIN-TYPE APPROXIMATION PROCESSES FOR VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS (Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis). 数理解析研究所講究録 1998, 1071: 88-98

ISSUE DATE:

1998-11

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/62565>

RIGHT:

BERNSTEIN-TYPE APPROXIMATION PROCESSES FOR VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

TOSHIHIKO NISHISHIRAO (西白保敏彦)

Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus (琉球大学理学部)

ABSTRACT. A sequence of the Bernstein-type operators for vector-valued functions is provided and its uniform convergence is considered by making use of a theorem of Korovkin type under certain requirements.

1. Introduction

Let f be a real-valued continuous function on the unit r -cube

$$\mathbb{I}_r = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r\},$$

where \mathbb{R}^r is the r -dimensional Euclidean space and let n be a positive integer. Then the n -th Bernstein polynomial of f is defined by

$$B_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{k_r=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{n}{k_i} x_i^{k_i} (1-x_i)^{n-k_i} f(k_1/n, \dots, k_r/n). \quad (1)$$

It is well-known that $\{B_n(f)\}$ converges uniformly to f on \mathbb{I}_r (cf. [6]). This result also remains true for a continuous function f taking values in a normed linear space ([8]).

In this paper, we give a generalization of (1) and consider its uniform convergence in the context of normed vector lattices. For this we have to establish a theorem of Korovkin type for vector-valued functions (cf. [8], [9], [10]). For the background of the Korovkin-type

approximation theory, see the book of Altomare and Campiti [2], in which an excellent source and a vast literature of this theory can be found (cf. [3], [4], [5]).

2. A theorem of Korovkin type

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let E be a normed vector lattice with its positive cone $E_+ = \{a \in E : a \geq 0\}$. For the general notions and terminology needed from the theory of normed vector lattices, we refer to [12] (cf. [1], [7]). Let $B(X, E)$ denote the normed vector lattice of all E -valued norm bounded functions on X with the usual pointwise addition, scalar multiplication, ordering and the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. We shall use the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$ for the underlying norms. $C(X, E)$ denotes the closed sublattice of $B(X, E)$ consisting of all E -valued continuous functions on X . In the case when E is equal to \mathbb{R} , we simply write $B(X)$ and $C(X)$ instead of $B(X, E)$ and $C(X, E)$, respectively.

Throughout this paper we suppose that E always contains an element e such that $e > 0$, $\|e\| = 1$ and $|a| \leq \|a\|e$ for all $a \in E$. We call e the normal order unit of E . We define $\rho(x) = e$ and $1_X(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. Notice that ρ and 1_X are the normal order units of $C(X, E)$ and $C(X)$, respectively. For any $a \in E$ and $v \in B(X)$, the function $v \otimes a$ is defined by $(v \otimes a)(x) = v(x)a$ for all $x \in X$. Also, for any $v \in B(X)$ and $f \in B(X, E)$, we define $(vf)(x) = v(x)f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Clearly, $v \otimes a$ and vf belong to $B(X, E)$, and $\|v \otimes a\| = \|v\|\|a\|$, $\|vf\| \leq \|v\|\|f\|$ and $\rho = 1_X \otimes e$. We shall denote by $C(X) \otimes E$ the linear subspace of $C(X, E)$ consisting of all finite sums of functions of the form $v \otimes a$, where $v \in C(X)$ and $a \in E$. A bounded linear operator L of $C(X, E)$ into $B(X, E)$ is said to be quasi-positive if $v, w \in C(X)$ and $|v| \leq w$, then $\|L(v \otimes a)(x)\| \leq \|L(w \otimes a)(x)\|$ for all $a \in E_+$ and all $x \in X$. (cf. [8], [9]). A typical example of such an

operator is given by

$$T(f) = hf \quad \text{for every } f \in C(X, E), \quad (2)$$

where h is an arbitrary fixed function in $B(X)$.

Lemma 1. *If L is a positive linear operator of $C(X, E)$ into $B(X, E)$, then it is quasi-positive and $\|L\| = \|L(\rho)\|$.*

Proof. Let $v, w \in C(X)$, $|v| \leq w$ and $a \in E_+$. Then we have $|v \otimes a| \leq w \otimes a$, and so $|L(v \otimes a)| \leq L(w \otimes a)$. Thus for all $x \in X$, $|L(v \otimes a)(x)| \leq L(w \otimes a)(x)$, which implies $\|L(v \otimes a)(x)\| \leq \|L(w \otimes a)(x)\|$. Since, for all $f \in C(X, E)$, $|f| \leq \|f\|\rho$, we have $|L(f)| \leq \|f\|L(\rho)$, and so $\|L(f)\| \leq \|f\|\|L(\rho)\|$. Therefore, $\|L\| \leq \|L(\rho)\|$. On the other hand, $\|L(\rho)\| \leq \|L\|$ because of $\|\rho\| = 1$. \square

Lemma 2. ([8; Lemma 2]) *$C(X) \otimes E$ is dense in $C(X, E)$.*

In fact, this is an immediate consequence of [11; Theorem 1.15], since $C(X)$ separates the points of X .

Now, we have the following Korovkin-type theorem (cf. [8; Corollary 4 (i) and Remark]), which can be useful for later applications.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{L_\alpha\}$ be a net of quasi-positive linear operators of $C(X, E)$ into $B(X, E)$ such that there exists an element α_0 for which*

$$\sup\{\|L_\alpha\| : \alpha \geq \alpha_0\} < \infty \quad (3)$$

and let T be as in (2). Let G be a subset of $C(X)$ separating the points of X . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) *For all $g \in G$, $a \in E_+$ and for $j = 0, 1, 2$,*

$$\lim_\alpha \|L_\alpha(g^j \otimes a) - T(g^j \otimes a)\| = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $g^0 = 1_X$.

(b) For all $g \in G$ and all $a \in E_+$, (4) holds with $j = 0$ and $\lim_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(g, a) = 0$, where

$$\mu_{\alpha}(g, a) = \sup\{\|L_{\alpha}((g - g(y)1_X)^2 \otimes a)(y)\| : y \in X\}.$$

(c) For all $f \in C(X, E)$,

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|L_{\alpha}(f) - T(f)\| = 0.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\alpha}((g - g(y)1_X)^2 \otimes a)(y) &= L_{\alpha}(g^2 \otimes a)(y) - T(g^2 \otimes a)(y) \\ &\quad - 2g(y)\{L_{\alpha}(g \otimes a)(y) - T(g \otimes a)(y)\} + g^2(y)\{L_{\alpha}(1_X \otimes a)(y) - T(1_X \otimes a)(y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\alpha}(g, a) &\leq \|L_{\alpha}(g^2 \otimes a) - T(g^2 \otimes a)\| \\ &\quad + 2\|g\| \|L_{\alpha}(g \otimes a) - T(g \otimes a)\| + \|g^2\| \|L_{\alpha}(1_X \otimes a) - T(1_X \otimes a)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (a) implies (b). Next we suppose that (b) is valid. Let $v \in C(X)$, $b \in E$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Note that b has the representation

$$b = b^+ - b^-,$$

where b^+ and b^- are the positive part and the negative part of b , respectively. Since X is compact and G separates the points of X , the original topology on X is identical with the weak topology on X induced by G . Therefore, there exists a finite subset $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ of G and a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$|v(x) - v(y)| \leq \epsilon + K \sum_{i=1}^m (g_i(x) - g_i(y))^2$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{\alpha}((v - v(y)1_X) \otimes b^+)(y)\| &\leq \epsilon \|L_{\alpha}(1_X \otimes b^+)(y)\| \\ &\quad + K \sum_{i=1}^m \|L_{\alpha}((g_i - g_i(y)1_X)^2 \otimes b^+)(y)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in X$, and so we have

$$\|L_{\alpha}(v \otimes b^+) - T(v \otimes b^+)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|L_\alpha(v \otimes b^+) - vL_\alpha(1_X \otimes b^+)\| + \|v\| \|L_\alpha(1_X \otimes b^+) - T(1_X \otimes b^+)\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \|L_\alpha(1_X \otimes b^+)\| + K \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_\alpha(g_i, b^+) + \|v\| \|L_\alpha(1_X \otimes b^+) - T(1_X \otimes b^+)\|, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the assertion (b) yields $\lim_\alpha \|L_\alpha(v \otimes b^+) - T(v \otimes b^+)\| = 0$. Similarly, we have $\lim_\alpha \|L_\alpha(v \otimes b^-) - T(v \otimes b^-)\| = 0$. Now, we have

$$\|L_\alpha(v \otimes b) - T(v \otimes b)\| \leq \|L_\alpha(v \otimes b^+) - T(v \otimes b^+)\| + \|L_\alpha(v \otimes b^-) - T(v \otimes b^-)\|,$$

and so

$$\lim_\alpha \|L_\alpha(v \otimes b) - T(v \otimes b)\| = 0.$$

Hence, in view of (3), Lemma 2 and the theorem of Banach-Steinhaus establish the statement (c). It is obvious that (c) implies (a). \square

Remark 1. *Theorem 1 can be applied in the following situation: Let X be a compact subset of a real locally convex Hausdorff vector space F with its dual space F^* and $G = \{u|_X : u \in F^*\}$, where $u|_X$ denotes the restriction of u to X . If X is a compact convex subset of F , then G can be taken as the space of all real-valued continuous affine functions on X .*

3. Bernstein-type operators

Let $B[E]$ denote the normed algebra of all bounded linear operators of E into itself with the identity operator I . Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r be compact Hausdorff spaces and we here consider their product space

$$X = \prod_{i=1}^r X_i = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) : x_i \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Let $\Phi = \{(\Phi_{n,k}^{(i)})_{n,k \geq 0} : i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be a set of infinite lower triangular matrices of continuous functions from X_i into $B[E]$ and let

$\mathcal{T} = \{T_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} : 0 \leq k_i \leq n, i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be a set of bounded linear operators of $C(X, E)$ into E . Then we define

$$B_n(f)(x) = B_{n,\mathcal{T},\Phi}(f)(x) = \sum_{k_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{k_r=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^r \Phi_{n,k_i}^{(i)}(x_i) (T_{n,k_1,\dots,k_r}(f)) \quad (5)$$

for all $f \in C(X, E)$ and all $x \in X$. Notice that each B_n is a bounded linear operator of $C(X, E)$ into itself. We call B_n the n -th Bernstein-type operator with respect to \mathcal{T} and Φ .

If we take

$$X_i = \mathbb{I}_1 = [0, 1] \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, r) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Phi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t) = \varphi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t)I \quad (t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r),$$

where

$$\varphi_{n,k}^{(i)} \in C(X_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, r),$$

then (5) becomes

$$B_n(f)(x) = B_{n,\mathcal{T},\Phi}(f)(x) = \sum_{k_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{k_r=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi_{n,k_i}^{(i)}(x_i) T_{n,k_1,\dots,k_r}(f). \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, in particular, if we take

$$\varphi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t) = \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \quad (t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$$

and define

$$T_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}(f) = f(k_1/n, k_2/n, \dots, k_r/n) \quad (f \in C(X, E)), \quad (8)$$

then (7) reduces to (1) in case of $E = \mathbb{R}$.

From now on let $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, be as in (6) and each operator T_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is defined by (8).

Lemma 3. Suppose that for all $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \Phi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t) = I, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k \Phi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t) = ntI \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^n k(k-1)\Phi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t) = n(n-1)t^2I. \quad (10)$$

Then we have

$$B_n(1_X \otimes a) = 1_X \otimes a, \quad B_n(e_j \otimes a) = e_j \otimes a$$

and

$$B_n(e_j^2 \otimes a) = e_j^2 \otimes a + \frac{1}{n}(e_j - e_j^2) \otimes a$$

for all $a \in E, n \geq 1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Here, e_j denotes the j -th coordinate function on X defined by

$$e_j(x) = x_j \quad (x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \in X).$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then we have

$$B_n(1_X \otimes a)(x) = \sum_{k_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{k_r=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^r \Phi_{n,k_i}^{(i)}(x_i)(a) = I(a) = a,$$

$$B_n(e_j \otimes a)(x) = \sum_{k_j=1}^n \Phi_{n,k_j}^{(j)}(x_j) \left(\frac{k_j}{n} a \right) = \frac{1}{n}(nx_j I)(a) = x_j a$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(e_j^2 \otimes a)(x) &= \sum_{k_j=1}^n \Phi_{n,k_j}^{(j)}(x_j) \left(\frac{k_j^2}{n^2} a \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k_j=1}^n k_j \Phi_{n,k_j}^{(j)}(x_j)(a) + \sum_{k_j=2}^n k_j(k_j-1) \Phi_{n,k_j}^{(j)}(x_j)(a) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ (nx_j I)(a) + (n(n-1)x_j^2 I)(a) \right\} = x_j^2 a + \frac{1}{n}(x_j - x_j^2)a, \end{aligned}$$

which implies desired result. \square

Theorem 2. Suppose that for every $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, each operator $\Phi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t)$ is positive, and (9) and (10) are fulfilled. Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(f) - f\| = 0$ for all $f \in C(X, E)$.

Proof. We take $G = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$, which clearly separates the points of X (cf. Remark 1). Since each B_n is positive, by Lemma 1, it is quasi-positive and $\|B_n\| = \|B_n(1_X \otimes e)\|$. Therefore, the desired result follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 4. Let $\{(\Psi_{n,k}^{(i)})_{n,k \geq 0} : i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be a set of infinite matrices of continuous mappings from X_i into $B[E]$ such that for all $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$,

$$\Psi_{n,k+m}^{(i)}(t) = t^m \Psi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t) \quad (n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m = 1, 2) \quad (11)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t) = I \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (12)$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t) = ntI \quad (13)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^n k(k-1) \binom{n}{k} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t) = n(n-1)t^2I \quad (14)$$

for all $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Proof. Since

$$k \binom{n}{k} = n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n)$$

and

$$k(k-1) \binom{n}{k} = n(n-1) \binom{n-2}{k-2} \quad (2 \leq k \leq n),$$

it follow from (11) and (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t) &= n \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t) \\ &= n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} \Psi_{n-j-1,j+1}^{(i)}(t) = nt \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} \Psi_{n-1-j,j}^{(i)}(t) = ntI \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^n k(k-1) \binom{n}{k} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t) = n(n-1) \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n-2}{k-2} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n(n-1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{j} \Psi_{n-j-2,j+2}^{(i)}(t) \\
&= n(n-1)t^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{j} \Psi_{n-2-j,j}^{(i)}(t) = n(n-1)t^2 I.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, The equalities (13) and (14) hold. \square

Theorem 3. Let $(\Psi_{n,k}^{(i)})_{n,k \geq 0}, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, be as in Lemma 4 with the additional assumption that all the operators $\Psi_{n,k}^{(i)}(t)$ are positive for each $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and define

$$\Phi_{n,k}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} \Psi_{n-k,k}^{(i)} & (0 \leq k \leq n) \\ 0 & (k \geq n). \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(f) - f\| = 0$ for all $f \in C(X, E)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 2. \square

Let $\{\{\varphi_k^{(i)}\}_{k \geq 0} : i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be a set of sequences of continuous mappings from X_i into $B[E]$, and we define

$$\Delta^n \varphi_k^{(i)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^{n-j} \varphi_{n+k-j}^{(i)}(t) \quad (n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (15)$$

Suppose that for all $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$,

$$\varphi_{k+m}^{(i)}(t) = t^m \varphi_k^{(i)}(t) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m = 1, 2) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \Delta^{n-k} \varphi_k^{(i)}(t) = I \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (17)$$

Corollary 1. Assume that all the operator $\Delta^n \varphi_k^{(i)}(t)$ given by (15) are positive for each $t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and define

$$\Phi_{n,k}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} \Delta^{n-k} \varphi_k^{(i)} & (0 \leq k \leq n) \\ 0 & (k \geq n) \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(f) - f\| = 0$ for all $f \in C(X, E)$.

Indeed, setting

$$\Psi_{n,k}^{(i)} = \Delta^n \varphi_k^{(i)} \quad (n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, 2, \dots, r),$$

the conditions (16) and (17) imply the equalities (11) and (12), respectively. Thus, by Theorem 3, we have the claim of the corollary.

In particular, we take

$$\varphi_k^{(i)}(t) = t^k I \quad (t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we have

$$\Delta^n \varphi_k^{(i)}(t) = (1-t)^n t^k I \quad (n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r),$$

and the conditions (16) and (17) are also satisfied. Furthermore, we get again the Bernstein operators given by (1).

Remark 2. Suppose that E is a Banach space. Let $r = 1$ and let $\Phi_{n,k}^{(1)}$ be as in Corollary 1. Then $B_n(f)$ becomes the Φ -Bernstein approximation of f of order n due to Tucker [13]. Also, conversely if we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(f) - f\| = 0$ for every $f \in C(X_1, E)$, then

$$\varphi_k^{(1)}(t) = t^k I \quad (t \in X_1, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

([13; Corollary]).

References

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive Operators, Academic Press (New York, 1985).
- [2] F. Altomare and M. Campiti, Korovkin-Type Approximation Theory and its Applications, Walter de Gruyter (Berlin-New York, 1994).
- [3] K. Donner, Extension of Positive Operators and Korovkin Theorems, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 904, Springer Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982).

- [4] K. Keimel and W. Roth, Ordered Cones and Approximation, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1517, Springer Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992).
- [5] P. P. Korovkin, Linear Operators and Approximation Theory, Hindustan Publ. Corp. (Delhi, 1960).
- [6] G. G. Lorentz, Bernstein Polynomials, Univ. of Toronto Press (Toronto, 1953).
- [7] P. Meyer-Nieberg, Banach Lattices, Springer Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1991).
- [8] T. Nishishiraho, Convergence of quasi-positive linear operators, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **40** (1992), 519-526.
- [9] T. Nishishiraho, Approximation processes of quasi-positive linear operators, Ryukyu Math. J., **5** (1992), 65-79.
- [10] T. Nishishiraho, Approximation of Korovkin type for vector-valued functions, Ryukyu Math. J., **7**(1994), 65-81.
- [11] J. B. Prolla, Approximation by Vector-Valued Functions, North-Holland Publ. Co. (Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977).
- [12] H. H. Schaefer, Banach Lattices and Positive Operators, Springer Verlag (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974).
- [13] D. H. Tucker, A note on Bernstein polynomial type approximations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 492-494.